EGFR, p16INK4a and E-cadherin immuno-histochemistry and EGFR point mutations analyses in invasive cervical cancer specimens from Moroccan women.
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Abstract – The involvement of human papillomavirus in the development of cervical cancer has been firmly established. However, better management of cervical cancer rests on good diagnosis and an effective therapy. In this study we evaluated the frequency of point mutations in epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) for future use of tyrosine kinase inhibitors in clinical treatment and to assess the use of EGFR, p16INK4a and E-cadherin as biomarkers in cervical cancer diagnosis with immunohistochemistry. Fifty-three patient specimens of cervical cancer were analysed for HPV infection, for EGFR mutations in exons 18 through 21, and for expression of EGFR, p16INK4a and E-cadherin by immunostaining. Results showed that 79.24% of the cases (42/53) are HPV positive and the HPV types more closely associated with risk are HPV 16 and 18. In all 53 analysed specimens, any mutation affecting the EGFR kinase domain in exons 18 through 21 was observed. Expressions of EGFR, p16INK4a and E-cadherin were detected in 88.67% (47/53), 92.45% (49/53) and 79.24% (42/53) of analysed specimens respectively. Thus, EGFR, p16INK4a and E-cadherin would be excellent tools for IHC analysis during the cervical cancer development. EGFR and p16INK4a can be used for early diagnosis and E-cadherin for cancer progression and cell migration. However, treatment of cervical cancer with TKIs may not be effective and the identification of other EGFR inhibitors is needed.

Key words: Cervical cancer, HPV, EGFR, p16INK4a, E-cadherin, mutation analysis, Immunohistochemistry.

INTRODUCTION

Cisplatin (cisplatinum or cis- Worldwide, cervical cancer is a major female malignancy, being the fifth common cancer and the second leading cause of cancer-related death in women (61). In Morocco, cervical cancer is the second most frequent female cancer after breast cancer and represents a major public health problem with an estimation of 6000 cases/year. Among them, only 2250 cases are successfully treated. The National Institute of Oncology (INO) hospital-based cancer registry data reports that more than 500 new cases are diagnosed annually and only 5% were in stages I and II, whereas the great majority were in advanced stages (3, 4, 16).

In Morocco, the national program against cervical cancer is exclusively based on the cytology and immunohistology screening that offer substantial protection although current coverage is low; whereas, cervical cancer treatment that focuses on the utilisation of concomitant platinum-based therapy with radiation protocols remains the standard care for patients with invasive cervical disease (4). There is a clear need for novel, more effective therapeutic strategies and predictive biological markers to improve overall survival and the quality of life for advanced, recurrent and disseminated cervical cancer.

The Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor (EGFR) is a membrane tyrosine kinase receptor that regulates multiple functions (cell growth, differentiation, gene expression and development), contributes to growth activity and tumor survival, and therefore, is a therapeutic target in several tumors (6). Mutations in EGFR gene have been identified and the EGFR
expression was affected in many types of cancer (8, 64, 67). Actually, more than 10 EGFR-targeting agents are in advanced clinical development for the treatment of various human cancer types (21, 22, 51, 58). However, the interest is focused on 4 products, two anti-EGFR monoclonal antibodies (cetuximab and panitumumab) and two small-molecule, reversible EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors (gefitinib and erlotinib) that have been approved in several countries for the treatment of metastatic non–small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC), colorectal cancer, squamous-cell carcinoma of the head and neck, and pancreatic cancer (28, 32, 37, 52). Gefitinib and erlotinib have demonstrated long-lasting responses in a subpopulation of NSCLC patients who were found to have specific functional EGFR mutations in exons 18 through 21 (59, 60).

Human papillomavirus (HPV) are considered the major infectious etiologic agents of cervical precancerous lesions and cancers (12). Several studies have shown that HPV E6 and E7 oncoproteins increase the expression and the activation of the EGFR (2) and that E5 protein stimulates recycling of EGFR to the cell surface (71). The E5 gene has been also linked to the expression of EGFR by abrogating degradation of the receptor via inhibition of the endosomal proton-ATPase (70). Therefore, oncogenic HPV could induce upregulation of the EGFR network and cause constitutive activation of this pathway in cervical cancer cells (70). There is evidence that alterations in EGFR expression may play a role in cervical carcinogenesis. However, notwithstanding the established association between EGFR and HPV oncoproteins in cervical cell lines, EGFR overexpression was not correlated to HPV infection in cervical precancerous lesions and invasive cancers (17, 39).

p16\(^{INK4a}\) and E-Cadherin are two important proteins used as immunohistochemical markers in various gynaecological cancers. p16\(^{INK4a}\), the product of CDKN2A gene is a component of p16\(^{INK4a}\)-Cdk4-6/CyclinD-pRb signalling pathway and is perturbed in many cancers. In these tumours, the functions of p16\(^{INK4a}\) may be lost due to mutations or suppression of its transcription by promoter methylation (30). In high risk-HPV positive cervical cancer, the oncogene E7 disrupts pRb/E2F interaction, releases active E2F and induces the pRb degradation (45). The existence of the regulatory feedback in the pRb/p16 pathway leads to an overexpression of p16\(^{INK4a}\) in cervical tumours (36). Klaes et al. (41) have shown that overexpression of p16\(^{INK4a}\) is a specific marker for dysplastic and neoplastic epithelial cells in the cervix. They have clearly demonstrated that use of p16\(^{INK4a}\) immunostaining allows precise identification of cervical lesions and significantly reduce false-negative and -positive interpretation in cervical cancer screening (41). Recently, several studies have shown high sensitivity and specificity of p16 based cytology to detect high grade cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN) (74).

E-cadherin is a transmembrane glycoprotein encoded by \(CDH1\) gene. It is localised on the surface of epithelial cells and mediates adhesion through Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent homotypic binding. Based on its biological functions, E-cadherin is regarded as an invasion and metastasis suppressor. Loss of E-cadherin expression or function correlates with increased invasiveness and metastasis in carcinomas of several anatomical sites (24, 31). E-cadherin-mediated cell adhesion system is inactivated by multiple mechanisms. It may be inactivated as a result of genetic alteration, reduced gene expression, changes of other cadherin–catenin complexes or posttranslational modification of the protein leading to cytoplasmic delocalization (34, 47). The expression of E-cadherin is impaired as squamous intraepithelial lesions progress to squamous cervical carcinoma (14). In cervical cancer, the presence and localisation of cytoplasmic E-cadherin were significantly correlated with CIN grade. In invasive types, the expression of E-cadherin was significantly reduced (22) and this is mainly due to gene silencing by methylation processes (18).

This study was planned to evaluate the expression of EGFR, p16\(^{INK4a}\) and E-cadherin by immunohistochemistry (IHC) in 53 invasive cervical carcinomas as markers for cervical cancer diagnosis. Moreover, this study was focused on the detection of EGFR mutations, using PCR and sequencing, for exploring future use of TKIs in the treatment of cervical cancer.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study subjects**

Fifty three paraffin-embedded uterine cervix biopsies were collected at the “Institut National d’Oncologie” (INO) in Rabat, Morocco. The mean age of the patients was 51 with the range 31- 84. Pathological diagnosis was made using the routine hematoxylin-eosin stain on 5µm paraffin sections (26). Epidemiologic data (age, smoking habits and alcohol) and clinical data (tumor stage and size,
histopathological diagnosis, treatment and survival) were obtained from the archives of the Anatomy pathology department at INO in an anonymous fashion.

Paraffin-embedded tissue microdissection and processing

Microdissection analysis was done blindly, without the knowledge of clinical information. Briefly, for each patient three sections of 4 μm paraffin-embedded tumour tissue were placed over silenized slides for IHC labelling (for p16\textsuperscript{INK4a}, E-cadherin and EGFR). Other sections of 10 μm were prepared for DNA extraction.

DNA extraction

DNA from each of the selected specimens was extracted using the MagneSil\textsuperscript{®} Genomic, Fixed Tissue System kit (Promega), according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The concentration of DNA was then quantified by UV spectrophotometer.

The quality of extracted DNA was controlled by PCR amplification of a fragment of the β-globin gene using the primers PC04 and GH20 (Table I) which gives a fragment of 265 bp.

HPV detection and typing

DNA amplification: DNA was amplified by PCR using consensus primers: MY09 and MY11 (Table I). Amplification reaction was performed in a total volume of 50 μl. The amplification mixture contained 50 pmol of each consensus primer, 200 μM of each dNTP (dATP, dCTP, dGTP, and dTTP), 0.625 units of Taq DNA polymerase, and 3 μl of DNA specimen in 1X Taq polymerase buffer. The mixture was first denatured at 94°C for 7 minutes, followed by 35 cycles of PCR with denaturation at 94°C for 30s, primer annealing for 1 min 30s at 52°C and primer extension for 1 min 30s at 72°C. At the end of the last cycle, the mixture was incubated at 72°C for 7 min. For every reaction, negative control without DNA template was used and DNA extracts from SiHa and CaSki cervical cancer cell lines were used as a positive control.

Hybridisation analysis of PCR products

Aliquots of 10 μl of the PCR product were analysed by electrophoresis on 2% agarose gel. The 50 bp ladder molecular-weight marker was included for detection of the size of the DNA amplification product.

PCR products were transferred onto a Hybond N\textsuperscript{+} membrane (Amersham) by Southern blotting. The membrane was prehybridized at 37°C for at least 2 h in hybridization buffer containing SSC buffer, Denhart’s solution, and sodium dodecyl sulphate (65). Biotin labelled probes were added and allowed to hybridize overnight at 37°C. The probes used in this study were the oligonucleotides MY14, WD74, WD126, MY16, MY115 and MY70 (Table I) which are the specific probes corresponding respectively to the HPV 16, 18, 31, 33, 35 and 45 genotypes (9).

After washing the membrane under stringent conditions (2 times in 20 ml of SSC 2X solution at room temperature for 5 min, 2 times in 20 ml of a solution containing SSC 2X and SDS 1% at 40°C for 5 min and 2 times in 20 ml of a solution containing SSC 0.2X/ and SDS 0.1% at 40 °C for 5 min) (3), hybrids were revealed using the biotin luminescence detection kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Biolabs, England). Finally, membranes were exposed for 30 min to X-ray film (Hyperfilm ECL, Amersham).

EGFR point mutations analysis

Genetic analysis of the EGFR gene was performed by PCR amplification of exons 18, 19, 20 and 21, that are the more frequent mutated regions in cancers, and direct sequencing of the PCR products. Briefly, DNA was amplified in a total volume of 25 μl, containing 1.5 mM MgCl\textsubscript{2}, 200 μM of each dNTP (dATP, dCTP, dGTP, and dTTP), 300 nM of each primer, 0.3 units Taq DNA polymerase, and 2 μl of DNA in 1X Taq buffer. The primers used to amplify exons 18 to 21 of EGFR gene are listed in Table II. DNA was first denatured at 95°C during 3 minutes, followed by 35 cycles of PCR with denaturation at 94°C for 30s, primer annealing for 1 minute at 60°C and primer extension for 1 minute at 72°C. At the end of the last cycle, the mixture was incubated at 72°C for 7 minutes, followed by 35 cycles of PCR with denaturation at 94°C for 30s, primer annealing for 1 min at 52°C and primer extension for 1 min 30s at 72°C. At the end of the last cycle, the mixture was incubated at 72°C for 7 min. For every reaction, negative control without DNA template was used and DNA extracts from SiHa and CaSki cervical cancer cell lines were used as a positive control.

The amplification mixture contained 50 pmol of each primer, 300 nM of each primer, 0.3 units Taq DNA polymerase, and 3 μl of DNA in 1X Taq polymerase buffer. The mixture was first denatured at 94°C for 7 minutes, followed by 35 cycles of PCR with denaturation at 94°C for 30s, primer annealing for 1 minute at 60°C and primer extension for 1 minute at 72°C. At the end of the last cycle, the mixture was incubated at 72°C for 7 min. For every reaction, negative control without DNA template was used and DNA extracts from SiHa and CaSki cervical cancer cell lines were used as a positive control.

PCR products were purified using the Magnesil yellow solution (Promega) to eliminate the primers used for PCR reactions, then the sequence reaction for each purified product was carried out in thermostyler. The sequencing reaction was performed in a final volume of 20 μl containing 20 pmol of one primer (forward or reverse), 3 μl of Big Dye (version 1.1), and 2 μl of purified PCR product. Twenty-five cycles were performed: denaturation at 96°C for 10s, primer annealing at 55°C for 10s and extension at 60°C for 4 min. To eliminate the excess of labelled ddNTPs, sequencing reaction products were purified using the Magnesil green solution. Direct sequencing of amplified PCR products was performed on an ABI PRISM sequencing apparatus (ABI Prism 310 Genetic Analyser, Applied Biosystem). The sequence alignments were done with the BioEdit Sequence Alignment Editor and analyzed using SeqScape software v2.5 (Applied Biosystems).

Immunohistochemical analysis

Immunohistochemistry was performed on the tissue sections using the peroxidase-anti-peroxidase protocol as described previously (77). Briefly, the slides were immersed in xylene to remove the paraffin, hydrated through graded ethanol solution and the endogenous peroxidase activity removed with 3% H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} for 30 min. The sections were then incubated with 10% normal goat serum (NGS) in TGS buffer at room temperature for 30 min to prevent non-specific binding, then for 40 min with each primary antibody.

Antibodies used were: anti-EGFR H11 (DakoCytomation, dilution 1/45, proteinase K treatment), E-cadherin (clone NCH-38; DakoCytomation, dilution 1/100, citrate treatment in pH 6), and a ready-to-use p16\textsuperscript{INK4a} kit (clone E6H4, K5334, DakoCytomation). After incubation with specific secondary antibody and peroxidase-anti-peroxidase complex formation, reactivity was detected using the 3, 3'-diaminobenzidine (DAB) as chromogen. Positive and negative controls were available for all cases.

Evaluation of immunostaining results

Results were interpreted using a conventional light microscopy. A representative immunohistochemical staining of EGFR, p16\textsuperscript{INK4a} and E-cadherin in epidermoid carcinomas samples were showed in Figure 1. As a control, immunostaining of E-cadherin in normal epithelium was also included (Figure 1).

EGFR: The staining results for EGFR were scored semi-quantitatively. Only clear staining of the tumour cell membranes were considered positive. Immunoreactivity was quantified by scoring the intensity of staining; negative or weak when less than 50% of cells are stained, moderate
Table 1. List of primers and specific probes used in HPV detection and typing (9)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primers for β-globin amplification</th>
<th>Sequences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PC 04</td>
<td>5’ CAA CTT CATCCA CGT TCA CC 3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GH 20</td>
<td>5’ GAA GAG CCA AGG ACA GGT AC 3’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primers for HPV amplification</th>
<th>Sequences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MY 09</td>
<td>5’ CGT CCM ARR GGA WAC TGA TC 3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MY 11</td>
<td>5’ GCM CAG GGW CAT AAY AAT GG 3’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specific probes for HPV typing</th>
<th>Sequences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MY14</td>
<td>5’ CAT ACA CCT CCA GCA CCT AA 3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WD74</td>
<td>5’ GGA TGC TGC ACC GGC TGA 3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WD126</td>
<td>5’ CAA AAG CCC AAG GAA GAT C 3’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Primers used to amplify EGFR exons 18 through 21 (73)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exon</th>
<th>Primers</th>
<th>Sequences</th>
<th>PCR product size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>EGFR18F</td>
<td>5’ CAA ATG AGC TGG CAA GTG CCG TGT C 3’</td>
<td>400 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EGFR18R</td>
<td>5’ GAG TTT CCC AAA CAC TCA GTG AAA C 3’</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>EGFR19F</td>
<td>5’ GCA ATA TCA GCC TTA GGT GCG GCT C 3’</td>
<td>372 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EGFR19R</td>
<td>5’ CAT AGA AAG TGA ACA TTT AGG ATG TG 3’</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>EGFR20F</td>
<td>5’ CCA TGA GTA CGT ATT TTG AAA CTC 3’</td>
<td>408 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EGFR20R</td>
<td>5’ CAT ATC CCC ATG GCA AAC TCT TGC 3’</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>EGFR21F</td>
<td>5’ CTA ACG TTC GCC AGC CAT AAG TCC 3’</td>
<td>415 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EGFR21R</td>
<td>5’ GCT GCG AGC TCA CCC AGA ATG TCT GG 3’</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure1. Representative immunohistochemical staining in epidermoid carcinoma samples and control. A) Intense Nuclear staining of p16^{INK4a}, B) Faint cytoplasmic and membranous staining of EGFR, C) Moderate Membranous staining of E-cadherin and D) Immunostaining of E-Cadherine in normal epithelium. (magnification X40).
when stained cells represent 50 to 80 %, and strong for more than 80 % of total cells.

\( p16^{\text{INK4a}} \). For the quantitative evaluation of \( p16^{\text{INK4a}} \) staining, the percentage of positive cells was recorded and classified according to nuclear and cytoplasmic staining. \( p16^{\text{INK4a}} \) nuclear staining with or without cytoplasmic staining was regarded as positive, and percentage of positive cells was calculated. Immunoreactivity to \( p16^{\text{INK4a}} \) is classified in 3 groups according to the percentage of stained cells; weak, variable and strong corresponding respectively to less than 5% of the cells, 5–50% of the cells (containing weak and strong areas of intensity), and more than 50% of the cells stained for \( p16^{\text{INK4a}} \).

\( \text{E-cadherin} \): \( \text{E-cadherin} \) is revealed in cytoplasm, cellular membrane and nucleus. The staining is considered negative when the cell staining is less than 10%, weak 10-50 %, intermediate 50-80 % and strong more than 80 %.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed using Medcalc version 11.3.3 software that uses directly the chi-square trend test. The associations between the discrete variables were assessed using chi-square test. Differences were considered statistically significant for \( P \leq 0.05 \).

**RESULTS**

**Anatomy pathology analyses**

Histologically, the 53 cervical cancer biopsies were classified as follows: 51 epidermoid carcinomas, one mucinous adenocarcinoma and one adenosquamous carcinoma. Clinical stages of cervical cancers were classified according to the International Federation of Gynaecology and Obstetrics (IFGO) classification. The phenotypic distribution of the 53 cervical cancers showed that the majority of cases (96.83%) were diagnosed at advanced stages (IIB and IIIB), whereas only 3.13% of patients were admitted at an earlier stage (IB).

**HPV testing**

Using PCR amplification combined with molecular probing, we revealed the presence of HPV DNA in 79.2% of cases (42/53) with a predominance of HPV 16 and 18. All HPV positive specimens were positive for high-risk types.

The distributions of viral genotypes in the 42 cervical cancer HPV positive cases are reported in Table III. Molecular typing showed single and multiple infections. HPV 16, 18 and 31, alone or in co-infections, were presents respectively in 76.2% (32/42), 38.1% (16/42) and 23.8% (10/42) of cases, whereas the others genotypes showed a low prevalences. Multiple HPV infections were detected in 25 of the 42 HPV positive specimens (59.52%). Among the multiple infection’s cases, the most commons were 16/18 and 16/31 (8 cases each).

**Table 3. Distribution of HPV genotypes in the 53 cervical cancer samples.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HPV types</th>
<th>No. (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Single</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>10 (23.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>5 (9.52%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>2 (4.76%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total single infection</strong></td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Double</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 + 18</td>
<td>6 (14.28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 + 31</td>
<td>6 (14.28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 + 35</td>
<td>3 (7.14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 + 45</td>
<td>3 (7.14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 + 31</td>
<td>1 (2.38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 + 45</td>
<td>1 (2.38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total double infection</strong></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Triple</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 + 18 + 33</td>
<td>2 (4.76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 + 31 + 33</td>
<td>1 (2.38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 + 31 + 33</td>
<td>1 (2.38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 + 31 + 45</td>
<td>1 (2.38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total triple triple</strong></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total HPV infection</strong></td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EGFR mutations in cervical cancer specimens**

Many hot point mutations in exons 19 through 21 in EGFR gene were associated with a long-lasting response to treatment with some active tyrosine kinase inhibitors, e.g., gefitinib and erlotinib. Thus, in this study, we have focused our interest on mutations in theses exons. The figure 2 shows an example of nucleotide sequence of EGFR exon 19 and 21 obtained in cervical cancer specimen. Theses regions are frequently deleted and mutated in lung cancer. Direct sequencing analysis of exons 18, 19, 20, and 21 didn’t show any mutation in any cervical cancer specimen.

**Immunohistochemistry analyses**

**EGFR expression**

The percentage of cells with the highest intensity staining ranged widely from 1% to 100%. The majority of analysed specimens (47/53) showed high expression of EGFR. Among them, 61.7 % (29/47) expressed moderate EGFR level whereas highly EGFR expression was observed in 38.2% (18/47) of cases. In latter cases, membranous and cytoplasmic staining occurred in more than 50% of cells. Moreover, no correlation between EGFR expression and cervical tumour stage was observed (\( p=0.5 \)).
Figure 2. Example of sequence results of EGFR in exon 19 (A) and exon 21 (B). A) exon 19 containing the 2235-2254 region more frequently deleted and mutated in Lung cancer. B) exon 21 without mutation at position 2573T>G (L858R) frequently found in lung cancer.
**p16^{INK4a} expression**

The overall positivity rate for p16^{INK4a} was ranged between 0 and 100% of the entire lesion. Immunoreactivity to p16^{INK4a} was found in 49 of the 53 cases (92.4%). Among them, 45 cases (91.8 %) showed both nuclear and cytoplasmic staining, while 4 cases showed predominantly cytoplasmic staining (8.1%).

The intensity was related to the percentage of positive staining and the majority of biopsies expressed high levels. In fact, 56.58% of cases had more than 80% of cells expressing high levels of p16^{INK4a}. Moreover, no correlation between p16^{INK4a} expression and cervical tumour stage was observed (p=0.3587).

**E-cadherin expression**

42 of the 53 cases expressed E-cadherin and immunostaining was observed in all cellular compartments. Among the 42 invasive cervical carcinomas, membrane expression of E-cadherin was detected in 4 cases (9.5%) and cytoplasmic expression in only one case (2.4%). Simultaneous immunostaining at the membrane and the cytoplasm was found in more than 76% of cases (32 cases); whereas, simultaneous immunostaining of the nucleus and the cytoplasm expression was rarely observed (9.5%). Moreover, statistical analysis didn’t show any correlation between E-cadherin expression and cervical tumour stage (p=0.214).

**DISCUSSION**

Epidemiological and clinical studies have shown that HPV is a principle ethiological factor in cervical cancer development. To date, more than 200 genotypes have been reported but the interest was focused on genital HPVs that are associated with epithelial neoplasms ranging from benign common warts to malignant carcinoma of the uterine cervix (12). In this study, the prevalence rate of HPV infection was 79.2% which is within the reported range among women with cervical cancer from Morocco. Recent epidemiological prevalence studies in Morocco, using PCR to detect HPV DNA, have shown a range from 62%-92% (3, 50). These results may reflect differences in HPV detection sensitivity, due to the difference of sampling, storage, and fixation protocols, rather than HPV unrelated cancers. Viral distribution showed a predominance of HPV 16 and 18. Worldwide, HPV 16 is the most common type followed by the HPV 18 and geographical variations in non-HPV 16 distribution have been noted (29, 38). Bosch et al. (13) established that globally 50% of cervical cancer specimens contained HPV 16 in African countries. On the other hand, co-infection with multiple HPV types is a common finding, of many molecular epidemiological studies. Some HPV types might interact or act synergistically to induce lesion development or progression (72). Our results indicate that among the 42 HPV positive cases, 25 (59.52%) were infected with 2 or 3 HPV genotypes, and therefore, corroborate that multiple HPV infection is likely to be associated with the development of cervical neoplasia. Previous studies have shown that multiple infections differ considerably between clinical groups and in relation to the HPV detection assays used. In Morocco, a previous study showed that co-infection occurred in 35.5% of HPV positive cases (50). Worldwide, several studies have shown that multiple infections are present in 3.7% in Thailand (19), 5.1% in Brazil (25), 9% in the Philippines (56), 12.9% in Peru (66), 19.3% in Paraguay (63) and 32% in Costa Rica (33).

Over the last two decades, epidemiologic and experimental data has confirmed that abnormal EGFR expression or signalling play a critical role in human tumor development (5). EGFR has emerged as a leading target for the treatment of patients with NSCLC and specific mutations in the EGFR gene may identify lung cancer patients with a good response to the tyrosine kinase inhibitor (59, 60). The overexpression of EGFR in a wide variety of solid tumours, including cervical cancer (57) prompted us to look for the presence of mutations in exons 18 through 21 in cervical cancer specimens from Moroccan women. Direct sequencing analysis of the 4 exons showed the absence of mutations affecting the EGFR TK domain in all cervical cancer specimens. This result is congruent with a recent study reporting that mutations in the EGFR kinase domain are very rare in squamous intraepithelial lesions and cervical cancer. Arias-Pulido et al. (5) have reported the absence of EGFR mutations in exons 19 and 21 in 89 human neoplastic specimens from USA. Analysis of 889 tumours, including colorectal (n=391), gastric (n=185), breast (n=73), acute leukemia (n=88), glioblastoma (n=59) and hepatocellular (n=73) tumour specimens showed the presence of EGFR mutations in one breast, one colorectal and one glioblastoma cancer sample (7), suggesting that
EGFR mutations are rare or occur at a very low frequency in these solid tumours. This is in contrast to patients with lung cancer who show a high frequency (80%) of EGFR TK domain mutations (46). Since our results indicate that EGFR mutations are uncommon in invasive cervical cancer, treatment with TKI (gefitinib or erlotinib) will unlikely to be effective in these patients and, targeting the EGFR with other strategies should be more appropriate. Furthermore, inhibiting EGFR with monoclonal antibodies may be more effective than EGFR specific TKI treatment in cervical cancer patients, and clinical trials with these agents are underway (35). In fact, addition of the cetuximab, a monoclonal antibody, to cervical HeLa cell line caused 53% growth inhibition (35). Moreover, Bellone et al. (10) demonstrated that cervical cancer cell lines derived from primary and recurrent tumours were very sensitive to cetuximab-mediated antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity and cetuximab-mediated inhibition of tumour growth.

EGFR deregulation may also include increased co-expression of receptor ligands (EGF, TGR alpha); gene amplification, activating EGFR mutations (EGFRvIII), heterodimerization and crosstalk with other members of the EGF receptor family, as well as interaction with other cell signalling systems and viral proteins (21). EGFR can be evaluated in different ways: quantification of the receptor at the DNA, RNA or protein levels, or assessment of the degree of signalling from the receptor through analysis of receptor activation or the activation of downstream markers (21). In cervical cancer, HPV proteins seem to have an important role in EGFR expression resulting in an increase of EGFR recycling and over-expression (35, 68, 71, 76). Because of the genomic instability induced by HPV, it was important to evaluate EGFR expression in cervical cancer specimens from Moroccan women.

Immunohistochemistry (IHC) is commonly used to evaluate EGFR protein levels and is arguably the most convenient method for analysing clinical specimens. Using IHC, we showed that more than 86% (47/53) of cervical cancer cases revealed moderate and strong EGFR expression. Many studies have looked at the level of EGFR expression as a prognostic factor. Published data showed that although normal cervical epithelium did not show appreciable staining for EGFR, low-grade and high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesions (HG-SIL) (11, 15, 23, 48) and invasive CC has elevated levels of EGFR (27, 39, 40, 42, 43, 49). Reported EGFR over-expression varied over a wide range from 6-100% and in the majority of these studies, increased EGFR expression was associated with reduced recurrence-free or overall survival rates. However, other studies did not find such association (44, 54, 55). These conflicting results might be related to the population size and the techniques employed to assess EGFR staining.

Because of significant inter- and intraobserver variability in interpreting both Pap smears and the relatively low sensitivity of Pap smears, there is a need for better biomarkers to enhance diagnosis of cervical neoplasia (69, 75). Many studies have analyzed the presence of p16 INK4a in cervical neoplasia and have found a relationship between p16 INK4a expression, high-risk HPV types and cervical neoplasia; raising hope that p16 INK4a could represent a specific and sensitive marker for cervical neoplasia (41, 53, 62). Analysis of p16 INK4a staining by IHC in the 53 cervical cancer biopsies showed that 92.4% (49/53) had high level of p16 INK4a expression with a predominance of both nuclear and cytoplasmic staining. Our results point out that p16 INK4a overexpression does not seem to be exclusively induced by the presence of HPV and other mechanisms may be involved. These findings are in agreement with results obtained by Klaes et al. (41) showing that 58 of 60 invasive cervical carcinomas expressed p16 INK4a. Additionally, Agoff et al. (1) reported a lower frequency of p16 INK4a expression both in invasive squamous carcinomas (91%) and in adenocarcinomas (71%).

In this study, no correlation between p16 INK4a expression and tumour stage was observed. p16 INK4a is a negative regulator of the cell cycle and its expression might have an inverse correlation with tumour stage. Thus, the absence of correlation may be due to the limited number of cases in various cervical cancer stages in our specimens.

In this study, IHC analysis showed that E-cadherin is moderately expressed in about 85% (45/53) of informative cases with reduced membranous and cytoplasmic localization in 95.5% of the cases (43/45). E-cadherin plays a pivotal role in maintenance of normal adhesion in epithelial cells but has also been shown to suppress tumour invasion and participate in cell signalling (24, 31). In squamous cervical epithelium, E-cadherin is predominantly found at
the cell-to-cell borders in the basal and parabasal cell layers. While in squamous metaplasia, E-cadherin is heterogeneously expressed throughout the epithelial thickness, prominently in the basal and parabasal layers (14). Our results indicated that E-cadherin expression did not correlate with HPV status. This is in agreement with other studies reporting that HPV infection does not affect E-cadherin expression (14).

In conclusion, cervical cancer development is closely related to HPV infection and persistence. In high-risk HPV infected cervical cancer specimens, EGFR and p16INK4a are overexpressed. Therefore, EGFR and p16INK4a based IHC could be used as biomarkers for cervical cancer diagnosis. Over-expression of E-cadherin will be of great interest to predict potential cancer cell migration and prevent cancer evolution to metastasis. Thus, EGFR, p16INK4a and E-cadherin are excellent tools for IHC analysis during the cervical cancer development. Therefore, combination of cytohistological diagnosis, IHC evaluation and HPV testing will improve cervical cancer diagnosis and management. On the other hand, since no mutations affecting the EGRF kinase domain were found in exons 18 through 21, treatment of cervical cancer with TKIs may not be effective, and targeting the EGFR with other strategies may be more suitable.
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