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**ABSTRACT**

Cutaneous leishmaniasis (CL), a public health problem in Tunisia, is associated to three species: *Leishmania (L.) infantum*, *L. major* and *L. killicki*. Accurate and sensitive procedures for the diagnosis of *Leishmania* infection and for species identification are required to enable adequate treatment and appropriate control measures. Several PCR-methods are applied for the diagnosis and the identification of *Leishmania* parasites such as PCR-restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP), DNA sequencing, hybridization probes and real-time PCR (RT-PCR). In this study, PCR-RFLP and RT-PCR were performed on skin scrapings from 27 patients with confirmed CL by microscopic examination, in order to compare their usefulness and efficiency for identification of *Leishmania* species in routine diagnostic laboratories. Identification of *Leishmania* species was successfully achieved in 96.3% and 81.5% respectively. Agreement between using internal transcribed spacer 1 (ITS1)-PCR-RFLP and kDNA-RT-PCR assays was 70% (19/27). Characterization problems using RT-PCR were mainly due to the difficulties in analyzing the melting temperatures. ITS1-PCR-RFLP and kDNA-RT-PCR presented an interesting alternative to conventional methods for the identification of *Leishmania* parasites from clinical samples. Both PCR assays can be used in a routine diagnostic, however, further prospective studies including largest sampling, are required to determine their performances in a routine use.
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1. Introduction

Cutaneous Leishmaniasis (CL) is one of the most important resurgent parasitic vector-borne diseases endemic in many regions of the Old World: Central Asia, Middle East and the Mediterranean area. It produces a broad spectrum of cutaneous lesions in humans, ranging from a small self-healing lesion to a disabling affliction. Relapses and treatment failures, especially when *Leishmania tropica* is involved, are major issues of the disease. CL is prevalent in many areas in Tunisia, related to three *Leishmania* species: (i) *L. infantum* associated to sporadic CL in the north of the country, (ii) *L. major* responsible for zoonotic CL in the centre and the south and (iii) *L. killicki* (syn. *L. tropica* MON-8), the causative agent of chronic CL, in limited foci of the southeast and the centre of the country.

In endemic areas, the presence of multiple *Leishmania* species with overlapping clinical features and geographical distribution requires the development of sensitive laboratory tests with *Leishmania* species identification in order to evaluate the prognosis of CL and to choose appropriate therapies. Species identification will also contribute to a better understanding of CL epidemiology.
Currently, isoenzyme analysis is the gold standard technique for the characterisation and the classification of *Leishmania* parasites. However, this assay is culture dependent, time-consuming and arduous, requiring the examination of 15 different enzymatic profiles. For this reason, isoenzyme analysis is not available in a routine diagnostic laboratory. Moreover, difficulties in cultivating some species like *L. infantum* and the risk of contamination can hamper the identification of the parasite.

In the past decade, several molecular methods were employed for the diagnostic and epidemiological studies on leishmaniasis. PCR technology was successfully applied for *Leishmania* diagnosis and identification of the causative species directly from clinical samples avoiding the need of cultivation. PCR-restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) was widely used for species identification of *Leishmania* parasites. Real-time (RT) PCR, however, improved molecular diagnostics by adding simplicity and sensitivity.

In the present work, we applied two PCR assays, a PCR-RFLP and subsequently RT-PCR to identify *Leishmania* species in 27 skin samples collected from Tunisian patients with confirmed CL. Our aim was to evaluate their advantages and limits in the identification of *Leishmania* species in a routine diagnostic laboratory-use.

### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Samples

**2.1.1. Clinical specimens**

Samples of dermal scrapings were collected from 27 patients with CL referred to the Parasitology Laboratory in the Pasteur Institute of Tunis (PIT), Tunisia, for parasite diagnosis or during epidemiologic investigations conducted in Tunisia from 2005 to 2009. All patients or their legal guardians enrolled in the study provided free and verbal consent.

All patients were Tunisian nationals originated from 10 governorates of Tunisia: three patients from the north, 3 from the centre and 21 from the south. After cleaning with alcohol, scrapings were obtained from the active edge of the lesion using sterile lancets. The diagnosis of CL was confirmed in all patients by direct examination of *Leishmania* amastigotes in Giemsa-stained skin slit smears. *Leishmania* promastigotes were isolated in 14 out of 21 cultures, performed in Novy-MacNeal-Nicolle medium. The 14 isolates were typed by isoenzyme analysis, carried out in the Reference Center for *Leishmania* typing, Pasteur Institute of Algiers (Algeria).

All samples were subjected to a DNA extraction and submitted to DNA amplification for *Leishmania* species identification. PCR-RFLP was performed in the parasitology laboratory of PIT and RT-PCR in Service Paludisme, Parasites du Sang et Mycologie Médicale, Lyon, France.

**2.2. Leishmania reference strains**


*L. infantum* MHOM/TN/80/IPT1, *L. major* MHOM/SU/73/5-ASKH and *L. tropica* MHOM/SU/74/SAF-K27 were kindly provided by M. Gramiccia, Istituto Superiore di Sanita in Roma, Italy.


The six reference strains were isoenzyme typed using the technique described by Rioux et al.

Reference strains were cultivated at 25 °C in RPMI medium supplemented with 15% foetal calf serum and penicillin. Parasites were harvested at a density of 10^4 parasites/ml. They were washed twice with PBS buffer, pelleted with centrifugation (5900 × g for 3 min) and stored at −20 °C until DNA extraction.

### 3. Molecular diagnosis

#### 3.1. DNA extraction

**3.1.1. Clinical samples**

DNA extraction was performed on scraped products in all patients in PIT using QiAamp DNA Blood Mini Kit (Qiagen®, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. DNA was eluted from the column with 100 µl of TE Buffer. Samples were kept at −20 °C until used.

**3.1.2. Leishmania reference strains**

DNA was isolated from promastigote forms using QiAamp DNA mini kit following the manufacturer’s instructions, with minor modifications. The incubation time with proteinase K at 56 °C was increased to 1 h and DNA was eluted from the column with 50 µl of PCR grade H20.

#### 3.2. Molecular analysis

**3.2.1. PCR-RFLP**

This assay was carried out first in PIT, as previously described by Schönian et al. LITSR (5′-CTGATCATTTTCCGATG-3′) and L5.8S (5′-TGATACCATTTACGCACT-3′) primers were used for amplification of ribosomal internal transcribed spacer (ITS) 1 region. Amplification reactions were performed in a 50 µl volume. The extracted DNA (5 µl) was added to 45 µl of reaction mixture containing 50 mM of MgCl2, 25 µM of each primer (LITSR and L5.8S), 10 mM dNTP’s and 5 U Taq polymerase. Conditions for cycling were 94 °C for 4 min, followed by 36 cycles of 95 °C for 40 s, 53 °C for 30 s, 72 °C for 60 s and 72 °C for 6 min. *Leishmania* DNA from three reference strains and water were used as positive and negative controls in each PCR experiment.

After the amplification, PCR product was analysed on 2% agarose gel by electrophoresis and visualized under ultraviolet light after staining in ethidium bromide. The reference strains gave a PCR product lying from 300–350 base pairs (bp). Secondly, amplicons were subjected to a digestion with the endonuclease HaeIII (Hybaid GmbH, Heidelberg, Germany) at 37 °C for 1 hour following the manufacturer’s
instructions. Fragment length was analyzed to characterize Leishmania species, after agarose electrophoresis (2%) and ethidium bromide staining.\(^{15}\) Band patterns obtained after digestion, were compared to the patterns obtained with the reference strains. The digestion with the restriction enzyme HaeIII revealed three bands for L. infantum MHOM/TN/2003/LC11 (200, 100, and 50 bp), two bands for L. tropica/killicki MHOM/TN/2003/LCK (220 and 50 bp), and two for L. major MHOM/TN/2003/LCT4 (220 and 127 bp).

3.2.2. Real-time PCR

The extracted DNA from the 27 samples was subjected to RT-PCR amplification, as previously described.\(^{19,20}\) Primers JW13 and JW14, designed on the conserved region of Leishmania kDNA minicircle, were used for the amplification of L. infantum, L. major and L. tropica.\(^{21}\) RT-PCR technology was performed by hot-start PCR using LightCycler FastStart DNA Master SYBR Green I Kit (Roche Diagnostics\(^{®}\), Meylan, France) in a LightCycler\(^{TM}\) (Roche Diagnostics\(^{®}\)). The extracted DNA (5 μl) was added to 15 μl of reaction mixture containing 4 mM of MgCl\(_2\), 0.5 μM of each primer (JW13 and JW14), 2 μl of LightCycler FastStart DNA Master SybrGreen I buffer (Roche Diagnostics\(^{®}\)). Conditions for cycling were similar as previously mentioned,\(^{21}\) and PCR product identity was confirmed with melting curve analysis.

To ensure the reproducibility of the assay, three positive controls (DNA from L. major, L. infantum and L. tropica reference strains), were included in each run. A negative control (uninfected sample), and a contamination control (water) were also added.

4. Results

4.1. ITS1-PCR-RFLP

DNA from 26 out of the 27 examined samples (96.3%) was amplified and presented restriction band patterns correlated to reference strains. L. major was identified in 15 samples, L. tropica/killicki in six samples and L. infantum in five. PCR-RFLP identification correlated with that of isoenzyme analysis and DNA sequencing performed respectively in 13 cases (eight characterized as L. major, three as L. killicki and two as L. infantum) and three cases (two as L. killicki and one as L. infantum).

4.2. kDNA-RT-PCR

The post-amplification melting temperature (Tm), related to the sequence of the PCR products, allows the discrimination between the three positive controls included in each run. L. major, L. infantum and L. tropica could be easily differentiated by their Tm values. Their Tms were, respectively, 87 ± 0.5 °C for L. major (MHOM/ SU/79/5-ASKH), 89.3 ± 0.3 °C for L. infantum (MHOM/TN/80/IPF) and 88.5 ± 0.2 °C for L. tropica (MHOM/SU/74/K27). The variability between different runs did not exceed 0.57%. The identification of Leishmania species from clinical samples was realised by comparison to the Tm values of the positive controls.

The specificity of the RT-PCR was confirmed by the lack of Tm with water control and DNA extracted from human healthy skin tissue (data not shown). Eighteen out of the 27 examined samples had Tms conforming to that of reference strains; 17 corresponded to L. major and one to L. tropica/killicki (Table 1). Four samples, presenting a Tm at 84.3 ± 0.3 °C different from reference strains Tm values, were associated to L. infantum as previously described\(^{20}\) (Table 1). One out of those four samples was tested using isoenzyme analysis and/or DNA sequencing and confirmed as L. infantum species (Table 1). Overall, the identification of Leishmania species by kDNA-RT-PCR was achieved in 22 out of the 27 examined samples (81.5%). Two of the five non-identified samples were undetermined exhibiting an overlapping Tm with that of reference strains, and DNA amplification failed in three cases.

4.3. ITS1-PCR-RFLP and kDNA-RT-PCR

The agreement between the ITS1-PCR-RFLP and the kDNA-RT-PCR for the identification of Leishmania species was 70% (19/27): 14 samples corresponding to L. major, one to L. tropica/killicki and four to L. infantum (Table 1). Identification correlated with isoenzyme or molecular typing when performed (Table 1). Using ITS1-PCR-RFLP, identification failed in one sample, corresponding to L. major by kDNA-RT-PCR and isoenzyme analysis (Table 1). Using kDNA-RT-PCR, identification failed in five samples. The three non-amplified samples were identified by PCR-RFLP and isoenzyme typing as L. major, L. tropica/killicki and L. infantum respectively.

The two undetermined samples were characterized as L. tropica killicki by ITS1-PCR-RFLP and confirmed by DNA sequencing and/or isoenzyme typing. Two samples were mis-identified, associated to L. major species by melting curve analysis but identified as L. killicki using isoenzyme analysis and/or DNA sequencing.

5. Discussion

With the advent of the PCR technology, several PCR based assays for species differentiation were developed.\(^{14–19}\) Many different PCR techniques and targets were used for this purpose, complicating the comparison between PCR identification results. Most molecular diagnostic methods applied for species identification were based on PCR-RFLP and RT-PCR. Several studies reported the efficiency of PCR-RFLP assay in identifying Leishmania species directly from clinical material.\(^{14–19}\) PCR assay is clearly less laborious than an isoenzyme analysis, the gold standard method. It avoids the need of parasite isolation, but this assay is less reproducible and provides complex band patterns not easily computerizable, exchangeable and comparable between different laboratories.

Several DNA targets were used for DNA amplification, such as the SSU rRNA gene\(^{24}\), the ITS regions\(^{15}\), the microsatellite DNA\(^{25}\) or extrachromosomal DNA, such as the repetitive kinetoplast DNA (kDNA) minicircles.\(^{11}\) Related to the sequence variation in the first part of the spacer, the ITS1-DNA target allows the identification of almost all medically relevant Leishmania parasites with the
RT-PCR technology presents some advantages in terms of sensitivity and reliability, reduces the risk of DNA contamination and avoids the use of the carcinogen, ethidium bromide. Moreover, this assay offers accurate parasite detection, species identification with melting curve analysis of fluorescent PCR products and specific DNA quantification.19–21 Related to its heterogeneity, in terms of curve analysis of fluorescent PCR products and specific DNA parasite detection, species identification with melting contamination and avoids the use of the carcinogen, of sensitivity and reliability, reduces the risk of DNA minicircles (tens of thousands).

Although increased sensitivity of kDNA-RT-PCR was previously reported,11 this assay succeeded in our study to amplify and identify only one sample missed by PCR-RFLP. This could be related to the sample’s conservation and the quite lengthy delay between the applications of both PCR assays. Since RT-PCR is an expensive method compared to RFLP-PCR, we suggest its use when the latter fails to amplify Leishmania DNA.

Nevertheless, the differentiation of the parasite species by PCR is not always obvious. The difficulty in separating L. major from L. tropica using PCR-RFLP has been previously reported and the melting temperature analysis could sometimes be difficult in RT-PCR assay. In our study, in comparison to PCR-RFLP and/or isoenzyme analysis, kDNA-RT-PCR failed in identifying the Tunisian L. tropica MON-8 (L. killicki) in five samples: two samples undetermined presenting a Tm overlapping with that of reference strains, two samples presenting a Tm corresponding to L. major and one was non amplified. A recent method based on High Resolution Melting Analysis allows the differentiation between L. tropica and L. major and should be an interesting alternative for a better species analysis.22

According to the results of DNA sequencing and a previous report,21 four samples, showing a Tm value at 84.3±0.3°C different from the references strains, were considered as L. infantum. The shifted and the overlapping Tm values may account for kinetoplast DNA polymorphism among Leishmania strains, which causes a variation of the amplified sequence and consequently of the corresponding melting temperature. Moreover, kDNA genotypes are dependent on the experimental conditions and standardization of kDNA RT PCR assay is needed to allow interlaboratory comparisons and maximize repeatability.

The genetic heterogeneity was reported in L. infantum kDNA,23 however, among L. tropica strains, it was only reported in kDNA and microsatellites.34,35 Additional investigations on L. tropica kDNA polymorphism will be required to clarify those findings. To overcome this difficulty, we suggest the use of more appropriate reference strains in each RT-PCR run. Finally, the absence of DNA amplification observed for three samples using RT-PCR may be due to deterioration of extracted DNA related to time, storage and carriage. In fact, samples were first tested in Tunisia using PCR-RFLP and sent many months after to France for RT-PCR identification. These conditions interfere with PCR output (amplification curve) and with reproducibility of results (melting curve differences) and consequently may explain the difference in DNA amplification between the two PCR assays.36 A prospective study could avoid this problem.

In conclusion, PCR-RFLP and RT-PCR represent an interesting alternative to isoenzyme analysis for the identification of CL species directly from clinical samples. To our knowledge, this is the first study describing the

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample no.</th>
<th>PCR-RFLP result</th>
<th>RT-PCR result</th>
<th>Isoenzyme analysis</th>
<th>DNA sequencing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1–3, 6, 7, 11, 12, 14</td>
<td>L. major</td>
<td>L. major</td>
<td>L. major MON-25</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4, 5, 8–10, 13</td>
<td>L. major</td>
<td>L. major</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Non amplified</td>
<td>L. major</td>
<td>L. major MON-25</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Non amplified</td>
<td>non amplified</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>L. tropica</td>
<td>L. tropica</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>L. tropica</td>
<td>L. major*</td>
<td>L. killicki MON-8</td>
<td>L. killicki</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>L. tropica</td>
<td>L. major*</td>
<td>L. killicki MON-8</td>
<td>L. killicki</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>L. tropica</td>
<td>undetermined</td>
<td>L. killicki MON-8</td>
<td>L. killicki</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>L. tropica</td>
<td>undetermined</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>L. killicki</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>L. tropica</td>
<td>non amplified</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23–25</td>
<td>L. infantum</td>
<td>L. infantum*</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>L. infantum</td>
<td>non amplified</td>
<td>L. infantum MON-24</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>L. infantum</td>
<td>L. infantum*</td>
<td>L. infantum MON-24</td>
<td>L. infantum</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Legend:**
- **ITS1:** internal transcribed spacer 1
- **PCR:** polymerase chain reaction
- **RFLP:** restriction fragment length polymorphism
- **RT:** real-time
- **a:** isoenzyme analysis and DNA sequencing were not performed on all samples
- **b:** Non amplified samples: samples presenting no melting temperature (Tm) on RT-PCR
- **c:** Mis-identified samples on RT-PCR (related to isoenzyme and/or DNA sequencing results)
- **d:** Undetermined samples: exhibiting an overlapping Tm with that of reference strains on RT-PCR
- **e:** samples presenting a Tm value at 84.3±0.3°C on RT-PCR
- **L. infantum** species as previously described or after DNA sequencing and isoenzyme analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample no.</th>
<th>PCR-RFLP result</th>
<th>RT-PCR result</th>
<th>Isoenzyme analysis</th>
<th>DNA sequencing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4, 5, 8–10, 13</td>
<td>L. major</td>
<td>L. major</td>
<td>L. major MON-25</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Non amplified</td>
<td>L. major</td>
<td>L. major MON-25</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Non amplified</td>
<td>non amplified</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>L. tropica</td>
<td>L. tropica</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>L. tropica</td>
<td>L. major*</td>
<td>L. killicki MON-8</td>
<td>L. killicki</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>L. tropica</td>
<td>L. major*</td>
<td>L. killicki MON-8</td>
<td>L. killicki</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>L. tropica</td>
<td>undetermined</td>
<td>L. killicki MON-8</td>
<td>L. killicki</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>L. tropica</td>
<td>undetermined</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>L. killicki</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>L. tropica</td>
<td>non amplified</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23–25</td>
<td>L. infantum</td>
<td>L. infantum*</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>L. infantum</td>
<td>non amplified</td>
<td>L. infantum MON-24</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>L. infantum</td>
<td>L. infantum*</td>
<td>L. infantum MON-24</td>
<td>L. infantum</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Legend:**
- **ITS1:** internal transcribed spacer 1
- **PCR:** polymerase chain reaction
- **RFLP:** restriction fragment length polymorphism
- **RT:** real-time

The total of non amplified samples (9) and undetermined samples (2) corresponds to non-identified samples on RT-PCR.

---

simultaneous application of molecular diagnostic tools for *Leishmania* species identification in patients with cutaneous leishmaniasis. Even if conducted on a small sampling, such study is required to evaluate the best assay performance for implementation in areas where the disease is endemic. However, a prospective study conducted on larger sampling would be necessary to assess the real efficiency and usefulness of ITS1-RFLP-PCR and kDNA-RT-PCR for the identification of cutaneous *Leishmania* species.
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