

Human Umbilical Cord Blood Mesenchymal Stem Cell Differentiation to Endothelial Progenitor Cells in vitro Results in a Popu-lation with an Endothelial and Monocytic Profile

Ouafa Ouardy, Mustapha Benhassou², Meriem Khyatti, Loubna Mazini

▶ To cite this version:

Ouafa Ouardy, Mustapha Benhassou², Meriem Khyatti, Loubna Mazini. Human Umbilical Cord Blood Mesenchymal Stem Cell Differentiation to Endothelial Progenitor Cells in vitro Results in a Popu-lation with an Endothelial and Monocytic Profile. ISESCO Journal of Science and Technology, 2015, 11 (19), pp.2-8. pasteur-01252510

HAL Id: pasteur-01252510 https://riip.hal.science/pasteur-01252510

Submitted on 7 Jan 2016 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. **ISESCO JOURNAL** of Science and Technology



Volume 11 - Number 19 - May 2015 (2-8)



Cytokeratin 8/18 and von

Willebrand Factor VIII

Abstract

he renoprotective effects of endothelial progenitor cells (EPC) have been clearly identified and many results have shown their successful use in neovascularization of ischemic tissues associated with blood vessel diseases. However, the availability of a sustainable source of *EPC for large therapeutic* applications remains critical. The aim of this work is to ensure the production of a specific EPC profile from mesenchymal stem cells (MSC). Non-adherent EPC were

Human Umbilical Cord Blood Mesenchymal Stem Cell Differentiation to Endothelial Progenitor Cells *in vitro* Results in a Population with an Endothelial and Monocytic Profile Ouafa Ouardy¹, Mustapha Benhassou², Meriem Khyatti¹, Loubna Mazini¹

¹Laboratoire des Cellules Souches et Thérapie Cellulaire, Institut Pasteur Maroc, Casablanca, Morocco ²Service de Gynécologie Obstétrique, Maternité A, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Ibn Rochd, Casablanca, Morocco Email: loubna.mazini@pasteur.ma were progressively expressed on EPC as well as the monocytic CD14. In six-day EPC, all of the CD31+ cells expressed CD14 and CD146. CD54, CD11a, and CD49d adhesion molecules were also strongly expressed in both 3-day and 6-day EPC. These findings suggest that MSC-derived EPC might be a unique population of CD34+CD45+C D14 + CD31 + CD146 +cells sharing both stemness and progenitor characte-

ristics of endothelial cells

and monocytes, and that this culture model improved EPC production and expansion for a potential future use in vascular diseases.

Keywords: Endothelial Progenitor Cells, Expansion Culture, Mesenchymal Stem Cells, Monocytes, Neovascularization.

1. Introduction

In vivo life-saving of human organs strongly suggested the presence of residual stem cells involved in the reconstitution of tissue damages and organ functions (Herrera *et al.*, 2006; Gupta *et al.*, 2006; Chen *et al.*, 2008; Bruno *et al.*, 2009). These stem cells are mainly identified as mesenchymal stem cells (MSC) (Bruno

significantly amplified after 6 days of angiogenic

growth factor activation. Three day-EPC showed

an over expression of the heamatopoietic markers

CD34 and CD45 with a commitment to endothe-

lial lineage with the expression of CD31 and CD146

cell markers. MSC lost their mesenchymal profile by down-regulating the CD90 and CD105 expression.

et al., 2004; Chen *et al.*, 2008; Pasquinelli *et al.*, 2010) and act in a paracrine manner (Kinnaird *et al.*, 2004; Hoch *et al.*, 2012; Dorronsoro and Robbins, 2013) to repair injury. Over the last decade, such physiological aspects of MSC sparked great interest for advanced therapeutic applications in vascular diseases (Wang *et al.*, 2004; Herrera *et al.*, 2006; Pasquinelli *et al.*, 2010; Dorronsoro and Robbins, 2013; Peng *et al.*, 2013).

In animal subjects with renal injury, the transplantation of MSC induced angiogenesis, proliferation of renal cells and recovery of renal function improved by cell recruitment into ischemic sites (Asanuma *et al.*, 2010; Fang *et al.*, 2012; Peng *et al.*, 2013; Dorronsoro and Robbins., 2013; Liu *et al.*, 2013(1); Liu *et al.*, 2013 (2); Zhu *et al.*, 2013). This cell migration resulted in neovascularization of the renal tubules, and was achieved by the differentiation of circulating MSC under local trophic preconditioning and changes in their morphology, size and function (Gang *et al.*, 2006; Wu *et al.*, 2007).

In addition, circulating endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs) have been identified (Asahara et al., 1997; Shi et al., 1998; Yamaguchi et al., 2003; Smadja et al., 2007) but were less abundant than MSC (Aguirre et al., 2010). These cells were able to form new blood vessels both in vitro and in vivo, to migrate to injured sites and to differentiate into endothelial cells inducing tissue repair and neovascularization (Shi et al., 1998; Yamaguchi et al., 2003; Hur et al., 2004; Asahara et al., 2005; Blann and Pretorius, 2006; Duan et al., 2006). Furthermore, the angiogenic potency of these EPC was more important compared to MSC (Chade et al., 2009; Aguirre et al. 2010; Zhu et al., 2013). EPC hold great interest for renal tissue repair and efforts have to be doubled up to guarantee a sustainable specific cell source and profile for future therapeutic potential use.

EPC were primarily isolated from circulating blood, but this isolation appeared to necessitate more labor to collect the specific cellular profile at the suitable needed concentration. To overcome this, some authors have suggested that EPC be obtained from MSC when cultured in a conditioned media supplied with additional growth factors or by MSC-secreted proangiogenic factors media (Wang *et al.*, 2004; Song and Tuan, 2004, Wu *et al.*, 2007; Hoch *et al.*, 2012). In the present study, angiogenic growth factors were applied to MSC in order to obtain a sustained EPC production with an endothelial profile presenting abilities for proliferation, migration and homing properties.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Collection of human umbilical cord blood (hUCB) cells

All patients were informed about UCB collection, and signed informed consents were obtained before performing the collection. The institutional ethical committee requirements were respected from notification of patients to actual collection. UCB samples were obtained from full-term healthy and consenting women after normal vaginal and/or cesarean deliveries using a sterile syringe from the cord after placenta delivery and flowed into flasks containing a conservation medium composed of RPMI (Gibco, Life Technologies) at 10% EDTA (Gibco, Life Technologies), 5% Bovine Foetal Serum (BFS, Promega, Promega Corporation), 5% penicillin-streptomycine (Gibco, Life Technologies) and 2% fungisone (Gibco, Invitrogen).

2.2. MSC separation and expansion culture

Human umbilical cord blood (hUCB)-derived MSC were obtained as previously described (Mazini *et al.*, in press). MSC were then cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% BFS, 2% penicillin-streptomycin and 1% fungisone, and seeded at 2x10⁶ cells into 75 cm² flasks and maintained at 37°C and 5% CO2. The culture medium was changed twice a week. When 90% flask confluence was reached, 5% trypsine EDTA (Gibco, Life Technologies) was added to the adherent MSC and incubated at 37°C for 10 min. Cells were washed twice in RPMI supplemented with 5% BFS. The final pellet was counted and tested for viability by Trypan Blue dye exclusion (Gibco, Invitgrogen) before seeding in new flasks for an additional passage.

2.3. EPC production

When total MSC flask confluence was reached, basicfibroblasts growth factors (b-FGF, Gibco, Life Technologies) and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF, (Gibco, Invitrogen) were added to the culture medium at 10 μ g/ml and 40 μ g/ml, respectively. EPC were produced in the non-adherent supernatant of the culture. EPC were then collected and tested for viability by Trypan Blue dye exclusion.

2.4. Immunophenotyping of MSC and EPC

The immuno-phenotypic characterization of cells was performed by flow cytometry, using the cell markers monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) CD11a (Beckman Coulter), CD14 (Invitrogen), CD25 (Invitrogen), CD31 (Invitrogen), CD34 (Invitrogen), CD45 (Beckman Coulter), CD49d (Beckman Coulter), CD54 (Beckman Coulter), CD90 (Beckman Coulter), CD105 (Beckman Coulter) and CD146 (Beckman Coulter). According to the manufacturer's instructions, a suspension of 1.10^6 MSC or EPC was incubated with 10 µl of mAb for 30 min at +4°C. MSC were collected by trypsinization of confluent flasks and EPC from the supernatant of 3 and 6 days of activated culture. Cells were washed before being tested by the cytometer.

2.5. Immunocy to chemistry

Suspensions of MSC and EPC were seeded on slides using Lab-Tek Chamber Slide System (Rotenta R 300, Hettich), and centrifuged for 30 min at 300g. Once the slides were dry, immunostaining with Cytokeratin 8/18 (CK18/8) (Invitrogen, Life Technologies) and von Willebrand Factor VIII (Factor VIII) (Invitrogen, Life Technologies) mAbs was performed using the Histostain sp Broad Spectrum kit (DAB) (Invitrogen). The reaction color was visualized via a DAB reaction. The cells were then lightly counter-stained with hematoxylin and examined under optical microscope.

Positive controls were realized by using primary specific mAbs without the secondary ones while in negative controls staining with primary specific mAbs was omitted. EPC produced in the culture supernatant were labeled with CK18/8 and Factor VIII mAbs after 3 and 6 days of activation, and compared to labeled MSC.

2.6. Statistical analysis

Mean EPC yield proliferation was tested by student's t-test for statistical differences. P value <0.05 was considered to be significant.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. hUCB-derived MSC and differentiation culture

MSC are identified in culture as fibroblasts-like with a short spindle and are irregular in shape regardless of their origins. Previous findings suggested that hUCBderived MSC were shown to have mesengenic activity in vitro as well as in vivo (Gang *et al.*, 2004; Peng *et al.*, 2012; Fang *et al.*, 2012; and Dorronsoro *et al.*, 2013). These cells promoted renal cell regeneration and enhanced angiogenesis through differentiation into endothelial cells promoting then new renal vessel formation.

In our culture, and when activated with b-FGF and VEGF, adherent MSC produce red colonies developing and spreading over the adherent layer and on the supernatant, as shown in *Figure 1*. After 3 days of activation, these colonies appear small with less than 50 cells, and increase in size and number until 9 days of activation. The colonies containing cells were isolated from the supernatant for identification, and cells were called thereafter EPC.

3.2. Kinetic of EPC production

In a conditioned media with growth factors or MSCsecreted proangiogenic factors, EPC can be obtained from MSC *in vitro* and expanded *ex-vivo*. Here, we report that colonies of EPC induced from hUCBderived MSC can be obtained in culture after activation



Figure 1. MSC culture by optic microscope after 3 days (A), 6 days (B), and 9 days (C) of activation by b-FGF and VEGF (inverted phase contrast inverted optical microscope x40).

with b-FGF and VEGF. These non-adherent EPC were collected after 3, 6 and 9 days of activation. The mean EPC yield increased progressively, as shown in *Table 1*, and presented a mean viability of 98% (unpublished data). EPC showed a significant production rate of more than 130 fold from 3 to 6 days (P<0.05, *Table 1*). Thereafter, the mean EPC yield did not differ significantly. Seeded at $2x10^6$ per flask, MSC were able to produce more than $1x10^6$ fold EPC in 6 days.

Yield of EPC produced in activated MSC cultures

TABLE 1. Kinetic of EPC production by mean value ± SD
(n= 25).*: P<0.05 at 3-days versus 6-days</th>

Mean Yield of	3-days EPC	6-days EPC	9-days EPC
EPC (x10 ⁶)	$65.4 \pm 21*$	89.5 ± 25	91.1 ± 45

3.3. Surface markers of MSC and EPC

While differentiating to EPC, MSC have been reported to lose their mesenchymal stemness identified by their decreasing specific stromal markers expression (CD90, CD105) (Jin *et al.*, 2009). According to these findings, we also report that MSC overexpressed the no-heamatopoietic CD105 and CD90 markers, but remained negative for the heamatopoietic markers CD34 and CD45 (Table 2). Furthermore, CD146 and CD31 markers have already been reported as specific indicators of the endothelial lineage and vascular smooth muscle commitment (Bardin et al., 2001, Kuwana et al., 2006, and Espagnolle et al., 2014). These markers were used to identify the EPC phenotype collected after 3 and 6 days of activation. Indeed, 3-day EPC presented a heamatopoietic immature profile expressing the CD34 and CD45 with a clear direction toward the endothelial lineage by overexpressing the CD31 and CD146 cell markers. A markedly decreased expression of the stromal markers (CD105 and CD90) was observed in these 3-day EPC in contrast to the CD14 and CD105 whose expressions were slightly observed (Table 2).

In 6-day EPC, CD14 and CD146 as well as CD31 were overexpressed while a down-regulation of CD34 expression was observed. This result suggested that colony-forming cells presenting a higher CD34 expression and appearing at 3 days seem to be more primitive than those obtained at 6 days, as already

	Surface markers	MSC (%)	EPC (%) in 3-day activated culture (n=15)	EPC (%) in 6-day activated culture (n=10)
Heamatopoietic markers	CD34		++++	++
	CD45		+++	++++
	CD14		++	++++
	CD38			-
	CD11a	+	++++	++++
Non-Heamatopoietic	CD25		+	+
markers	CD31(endothelial	-	++++	++++
	cell marker)			
	CD49d	+	++++	
	CD54	++	++++	++++
	CD90	++++	+	-
	CD105 (SH2)	++++	++	-
	CD146	++	+++	++++

TABLE 2. Expression of surface markers on MSC and EPC detected by flow cytometry, -- negative<1%, + 1-25%,</th>++ 25-50%, +++ 50-75%, ++++ >75%. MSC: mesenchymal stem cells, EPC: endothelial progenitor cells.

reported (Masuda *et al.*, 2011). This commitment was enhanced in 6-day EPC with an increase in CD14 expression relating to a monocytic cell profile. Similar EPC cell expression markers were observed regarding the expression of CD31, CD146, and CD144 (Asahara *et al.*, 1997, Bardin *et al.*, 2001, Kuwana *et al.*, 2006; Hoch *et al.*, 2012). Our results further show that cell adhesion molecules CD11a, CD49d, and CD54 were also strongly expressed in both 3 and 6-day EPC suggesting that the induced EPC presented homing and cell cohesion potentials. Elsewhere, EPC CD146 expression was reported to enhance their ability to adhesion and migration, and this expression was found to be related to vascular smooth muscle commitment (Kebir *et al.*, 2010; Espagnolle *et al.*, 2014).

Our findings suggest that the population phenotype CD34+CD45+CD14+CD31+CD146+ we found might be a unique population sharing the characteristics of monocytes and endothelial progenitors. These EPC seemed to derive from heamatopoietic progenitor cells induced by differentiation of MSC.

3.4. Expression of CK18/8 and Factor VIII in EPC

To identify the blue DAB reacting color concentrated in the cell cytoplasm, EPC positive (with primary mAb) and negative (with secondary mAb) controls were realized. Negative and positive MSC controls showed no specific labeling with CK8/18 and Factor VIII (data not shown).

EPC were found to specifically express CK18/8 after 3 and 6 days of activation when compared with the negative controls (in upper) and with their respective positive control (*Figure 2*). In the latter, some nospecific labeling was observed. After 6 days of activation, all EPC were labeled. Three-day EPC mostly expressed Factor VIII as compared to control cultures, and this expression increased at 6 days. Cell size increased progressively during activation. The increase in CK8/18 and Factor VIII expression is related to the epithelial phenotype acquired by the EPC. In addition, these changes were concomitant with the overexpression of CD14, CD31 and CD146, suggesting the acquisition of a functional profile of the induced EPC.

A: 3-days EPC B: 6-days EPC



Figure 2. Differential expression of Cytokeratin 18/8 (CK18/8) and von Willebrand Factor VIII (Factor VIII) in MSC control cultures and in EPC after 3 and 6 days of activation.(Phase contrast inverted optical microscope x40).

Conclusion

Despite their high proliferative and self-renewal potentials, MSC were reported to have a less angiogenic effect than that observed with infused EPC (Kinnaird *et al.*, 2004 and Dai *et al.*, 2005), and respiratory complications associated with engrafted MSC were also reported (Forslow *et al.*, 2012). Nonetheless, a successful use of EPC in the transplantation of ischemic tissues appears to be a more promising approach in the treatment of diseases associated with blood vessel disorders (Madebbu *et al.*, 2004; Kuwana *et al.*, 2004; Ichim *et al.*, 2008; Chade *et al.*, 2009). However, the direct isolation of EPC from bone marrow or peripheral blood is greatly limited by their relatively lower and insufficient numbers.

The culture system using angiogenic growth factors we used appeared appropriate for a quick generation of EPC populations sharing endothelial and monocytic profiles. Indeed, using the VEGF in the culture medium mimicked the pathological situations where this cytokine is highly secreted by ischemic tissues (Chade *et al.*, 2009). Other findings reported that early appearing EPC in culture were a combination of angiogenic T cells CD3+CD31+CXCR4+ and CD14+ monocytes (Urbich *et al.*, 2003; Hill *et al.*, 2003; Hur *et al.*, 2007), and that monocyte-derived multipotent cells also presented an endothelial potential (Kuwana *et al.*, 2006), implying the possible role of monocytes in inducing vasculogenesis. Furthermore, our findings suggest that hUCB-derived MSC might be a source of induced EPC with vasculogenesis potency, and that this culture model could be considered for EPC production as a future target in neovascularization in advanced therapeutics of blood vessel diseases. The use of such EPC for therapy may occur if a suitable model of standardized production and cryopreservation in a bank is realized under GMP regulatory conditions.

References

- Aguirre A, Planell JA, Engel E. Dynamics of bone marrow-derived endothelial progenitor cell/mesenchymal stem cell interaction in coculture and its implications in angiogenesis. Biochem Biophys Res Commun, 400 (2010): 284-291.
- [2] Asahara T, Murohara T, Sullivan A, Silver M, van der Zee R, and Li T. Isolation of putative progenitor cells for angiogenesis. Science, 275 (1997): 964-7.
- [3] Asanuma H, Meldrum DR, Meldrum KK. Therapeutic applications of mesenchymal stem cells to repair kidney injury. J Urol 184, 1 (2010): 26-33.
- [4] Bardin N, Anfosso F, Massé JM, Cramer E, Sabatier F, Le Bivic A, Sampol J,Dignat-George F. Identification of CD146 as a component of the endothelial junction involved in the control of cell-cell cohesion. Blood 15, 98 (13) (Dec 2001): 2677-84.
- [5] Blann AD, Pretorius A. Circulating endothelial cells and endothelial progenitor cells: two sides of the same coin, or two different coins? Atherosclerosis 188 (1) (2006): 12-8.
- [6] Bruno S, Bussolati B, Grange C, Collino F, di Cantogno LV, Herrera MB, Biancone L, Tetta C, Segoloni G, Camussi G. Isolation and characterization of resident mesenchymal stem cells in human glomeruli. Stem Cells Dev 18, (6) (Jul-Aug 2009): 867-80.

- [7] Chade AR, Zhu X, Lavi R. et al. Endothelial Progenitor Cells Restore Renal Function in Chronic Experimental Renovascular Disease. Circulation 119 (2009):547–557.
- [8] Chen J, Park H, Addabbo F, Ni J, Pelger E, Li H, Plotkin M, Goligorsky MS. Kidney-derived mesenchymal stem cells contribute to vasculogenesis, angiogenesis and endothelial repair. Kidney Int 74, 7 (2008): 879-889.
- [9] Dai W, Hale SL, Martin BJ, Kuang JQ, Dow LE, Kloner RA. Allogeneic mesenchymal stem cell transplantation in post-infarcted rat myocardium: short- and long-term effects. Circulation, 112 (2005): 214–223.
- [10] Dorronsoro A, Robbins PD. Regenerating the injured kidney with human umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cell-derived exosomes. Stem Cell Res Ther 4, 2 (Apr 25 2013): 39.
- [11] Duan H, Cheng L, Sun X, Hu L, Wang J, Zhao H, Lu G. LFA-1 and VLA-4 involved in human high proliferative potential-endothelial progenitor cells homing to ischemic tissue. Thromb Haemost 96, (6) (Dec 2006): 807-15.
- [12] Espagnolle N, Guilloton F, Deschaseaux F, Gadelorge M, Sensebé L, Bourin P. CD146 expression on mesenchymal stem cells is associated with their vascular smooth muscle commitment. J Cell Mol Med 18, 1 (Jan 2014): 104-14.

- [13] Fang TC, Pang CY, Chiu CY, Ding CY, Tsai CY. Renoprotective effect of human umbilical cord-derived mesenchymal stem cells in immunodeficient mice suffering from acute kidney injury. Plos One 7, 9 (Sep 2012).
- [14] Forslow U, Blennow O, LeBlanc K, Ringdeon O, Gustafsson B, Mattsson J, Remberger, M. Treatment with mesenchymal stromal cells is a risk factor for pneumonia-related death after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Eur J Haematol 89, 3 (Sep 2012): 220-7.
- [15] Gang EJ, Hong SH, Jeong JA, Hwang SH, Kim SW, Yang IH, C Ahn, Han H, Kim H. In vitro mesengenic potential of human umbilical cord blood-derived mesenchymal stem cells. Biochemical and biophysical research communications 321 (2004): 102-108.
- [16] Gang EJ, Jeong JA, Han S, Yan Q, Jeong CJ, and Kim H. In vitro endothelial potential of human UC blood-derived mesenchymal stem cells. Cytotherapy 8(3) (2006):215-27. Gupta S, Verfaillie C, Chmielewski D, Kren S, Eidman K, Connaire J, Y Heremans, Lund T, Blackstad M, Jiang Y, Luttun A, Rosenberg ME. Isolation and Characterization of Kidney-Derived Stem Cells. J Am Soc Nephrol, 17 (2006): 3028–3040.
- [17] Herrera MB, Bruno S, Buttiglieri S, Tetta C, Gatti S, Deregibus MC, Bussolati B, Camussi G. Isolation and characterization of a stem cell population from adult human liver. Stem Cells 24, 12 (Dec 2006): 2840-50.
- [18] Hill JM, Zalos G, Halcox JP, Schenke WH, Waclawiw MA, Quyyumi AA. Circulating endothelial progenitor cells, vascular function, and cardiovascular risk. N Engl J Med, 348 (2003):593-600.
- [19] Hoch AL, Binder BY, Genetos DC and Leach JK. Differentiationdependent secretion of proangiogenic factors by mesenchymal stem cells. Plos One 7, 4 (2012).
- [20] Hur J, Yoon CH, Kim HS, Choi JH, Kang HJ, Hwang KK, Oh BH, Lee MM, and Park YB. Characterization of two types of endothelial progenitor cells and their different contributions to neovasculogenesis. Arterioscier Thromb Vasc Biol 24 (2) (Feb 2004): 288-93.
- [21] Hur J, Yang HM, Yoon CH. Identification of a novel role of T cells in postnatal vasculogenesis: Characterization of endothelial progenitor cell colonies. Circulation, 116 (2007):1671-1682.
- [22] Ichim TE, Solano F, Brenes R, Glenn E, Chang J, Chan K, and Riordan NH. Placental mesenchymal and cord blood stem cell therapy for dilated cardiomyopathy. Reprod Biomed Online 16, 6 (June 2008): 898-905.
- [23] Jin HJ, Park SK, Oh W, Yang YS, Kim SW, Choi SJ. Down-regulation of CD105 is associated with multi-lineage differentiation in human umbilical cord blood-derived mesenchymal stem cells. Biochem biophys Res Commun 17, 381, 4 (April 2009): 676-81
- [24] Kebir A, Harhouri K, Guillet B, Liu JW, Foucault-Bertaud A, Lamy E, Kaspi E, Elganfoud N, Vely F, Sabatier F, Sampol J, Pisano P, Kruithof EK, Bardin N, Dignat-George F, Blot-Chabaud M. CD146 short isoform increases the proangiogenic potential of endothelial progenitor cells in vitro and in vivo. Circ Res 107, 1 (July 2010): 66-75.
- [25] Kinnaird T, Stabile E, Burnett MS, Shou M, Lee CW, Barr S, Fuchs S, Epstein SE. Local delivery of marrow-derived stromal cells augments collateral perfusion through paracrine mechanisms. Circulation, 109 (2004):1543–154.
- [26] Kuwana M, Okazaki Y, Yasuoka H, Kawakami Y, Ikeda Y. Defective vasculongenesis in systemic sclerosis. Lancet 364, 9434 (14-20 Aug 2004): 603-10.
- [27] Kuwana M, Okasaki Y, Kodama H, Satoh T, Kawakami Y, and Ikeda Y. Endothelial differentiation potential of human Monocyte-Derived Multipotent Cells. Stem Cells, 24 (2006): 2733-2743.

- [28] Liu N, Tian J, Cheng J, and Zhang J. Migration of CXCR4 genemodified bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells to the acute injured kidney. J Cell Biochem 114, 12 (Dec 2013-1): 2677-89.
- [29] Liu N, Han G, Cheng J, Huang J, and Tiang J. Eryhtorpoietin promotes the repair of acute kidney injury by bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells transplantation. Exp Biol Med (Maywood) 238, 6 (June 2013 -2): 678-86.
- [30] Madeddu P, Emanueli C, Pelosi E, Salis MB, Cerio AM, Bonanno G, Patti M, Stassi G, Condorelli G, and Peschle C. Transplantation of low dose CD34+KRD+ cells promotes vascular and muscular regeneration in ischemic limbs. FASEB J 18, (4) (9 Nov 2004):1737.
- [31] Masuda H, Alev C, Akimaru H, Ito R, Shizuno T, Kobori M, Horii M, Ishihara T, Isobe K, Isozaki M, Itoh J, Itoh Y, Okada Y, McIntyre BA, Kato S, Asahara T. Methodological development of a clonogenic assay to determine endothelial progenitor cell potential. Circ Res, 109 (2011):20–37.
- [32] Pasquinelli G, Pacilli A, Alviano F, Foroni L, Ricci F, Valente S, Orrico C, Lanzoni G, Buzzi M, Luigi Tazzari P, Pagliaro P, Stella A, and Paolo Bagnara G. Multidistrict human mesenchymal vascular cells: pluripotency and stemness characteristics. Cytotherapy 12, 3 (May 2010): 275-87.
- [33] Peng X, Xhu H, Zhou Y, Wang B, Yan Y, Zhang X, Wang M, Gao S, Zhu W, Xu W, and Qian H. Human umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells attenuate cisplatin-induced acute and chronic renal injury. Exp Biol Med (Maywood) 1, 238 (8) (Aug 2013): 960-70.
- [34] Shi Q, Rafii S, Wu MH, Wijelath ES, Yu C, Ashida A, Fujita Y, Kothari S, Mohle R, Sauvage LR, Moore MA, Storb RF and Hammond WP. Evidence for circulating bone marrow-derived endothelial cells. Blood, 92 (1998): 362–367.
- [35] Smadja DM, Cornet A, Emmerich J, Aiach M, Gaussem P. Endothelial progenitor cells: characterization, in vitro expansion, and prospects for autologous cell therapy. Cell Biol Toxicol 23, 4 (July 2007): 223-39.
- [36] Song L, Tuan RS. Trans-differentiation potential of human mesenchymal stem cells derived from bone marrow. FASEB J 18, 9 (2004): 980-2.
- [37] Urbich C, Heeschen C, Aicher A, Dernbach E, Zeiher AM, Dimmeler S. Relevance of monocytic features for neovascularization capacity of circulating endothelial progenitor cells. Circulation 108 (2003): 2511-6.
- [38] Wang JF, Wang LJ, Wu YF, Xiang Y, Xie CG, Jia BB, Harrington J, McNiece IK. Mesenchymal stem/progenitor cells in human umbilical cord blood as support for ex vivo expansion of CD34 (+) hematopoietic stem cells and for chondrogenic differentiation. Haematologica 89 (2004): 837-844.
- [39] Wu Y, Chen L, Scott PG, Tredget EE. Mesenchymal stem cells enhance wound healing through differentiation and angiogenesis. Stem Cells, 25 2007):2648.
- [40] Yamaguchi JI, Kusano KF, Masuo O, Kawamoto A, Silver M, Murasawa S, Bosch-Marce M, Masuda H, Losordo DW, Isner JM, Asahara T. Stromal cell-derived factor-1 effects on ex vivo expanded endothelial progenitor cell recruitment for ischemic neovascularization. Circulation 107, 9 (11 March 2003):1322-8.
- [41] Zhu XY, Urbieta-Caceres V, Krier JD, Textor, Lerman A, Lerman LO. Mesenchymal stem cells and epithelial progenitor cells decrease renal injury in CS experimental swine renal artery stenosis through different mechanisms. Stem cells, 31 (2013):117-125.